CERTIFICATION FORM ONTARIO AIR NATIONAL GUARD STATION

1.	Site Name and Location: Ontario Air National Guard Station (OANGS) is located in the City of Ontario.					
	California, in the southwestern portion of San Bernardino County. It is located approximately 3 miles east of downtown Ontario and 35 miles east of downtown					
		ngeles.	downtown Ontario and 33 miles east of downtown			
	Α.	A. List any other names that have been used to identify sites:				
	California Air National Guard 148 th Combat Communication Squadron (CCSQ)					
	B.	Address of site if differe	nt from above: <u>1280 South Tower Drive</u> Ontario, California 91761			
	C.	Assessor's Parcel Numb	pers:			
2.	Responsible Parties:					
	Name	: March Air Force Base	Name: California Air Natn'l Guard			
	Title: <u>E</u>	Base Conversion Agency	Title: 162 ND Combat Command Center			
	Firm:	Department of Defense	Firm: Civil Engineering			
		ess: <u>AFBCA/ROL-DD</u> Bundy Ave., Bldg. 3408	Address: 3900 Roseville Road			
	City: Moreno Valley, CA.		City: North Highlands, CA			
	Zip: <u>92518-1504</u>		Zip: <u>95660-5794</u>			
	Telephone: (909) 697-6732					
		ionship to site: nt Landowner/Operator:_l	Landowner and Operator			

3. **Brief Description and History of the Site:**

Ontario ANGS is approximately 11 acres and it borders the southern boudary of the Ontario International Airport. Land use immediately adjacent to the station

includes aviation support to the north and west, vacant land to the east, and industrial uses associated with the General Electric Jet Engine Test Cell Facility and an office/industrial park to the south. The Station closed September 1998 and is under the jurisdiction of the Air Force Base Conversion Agency. There are three Installation Restoration Program (IRP) sites (SS-01, ST-02, and ST-03) and five areas of concerns (AOCs). IRP Site SS-01, which covered the open space area between the maintenance shop (Building 03) and shed (Building 14) and the Cucamonga Creek Channel has been approved for closure by DTSC and the Santa Ana Regional Quality Control Board based on confirmation samples. IRP Site ST-02 and IRP Site ST-03 contained 3 underground storage tanks each which were closed under the supervision of San Bernardino County. The five AOCs included the Septic Tank and Leach Field which were removed and disposed in 1999, Two Concrete Oil/Water Separators at Buildings 06 and 14 were removed in 1995, A Wash Rack at Building 10 and a Former Fire Training Area were investigated in 1999 and found clean. Current site conditions and analytical test data indicates that no further action is warranted at all IRP sites and areas of concern.

4. Type of Site: (Check appropriate response)
Included in the Site Mitigation Work Plan?
Yes _X_ No ___
RCRA-Permitted Facility ___ Bond - funded ___
RCRA Facility Closure ___ RP - funded ___
*NPL __ Non-NPL_X_
Federal Facility _X_
Other (i.e., walk-in): ____ Explain Briefly: ____
5. Size of Site: (Based on Expenditure Plan definition of size)
Small _X_ Medium __ Large ___ Extra Large ___

6. **Dates of Remedial Action:**

a. Initiated December 1990 b. Completed August 2000

^{*}Per SARA, any NPL site that is not permanently cleaned must be scheduled for a follow-up visit after 5 years to verify that cleanup measures are still satisfactory.

7.	Response Action Taken on Site:			
	<u></u>	Initial Removal or Remedial Action (site inspection/sampling) Final Remedial Action RCRA enforcement/closure action No action, further investigation verified that no cleanup action at site was needed.		
	A.	Type of Remedial Action:		
	B.	Estimated quantity of waste associated with the site (i.e., tons/gallons/cubic yards) which was:		
		1 treated Amount: 2 untreated (capped sites) Amount: 3 removed Amount:		
8.	Clear	Cleanup Levels/Standards:		
	a.	What were the cleanup standards established by DTSC pursuant to the final remedial action plan (RAP) or workplan: Cleanup standards were set for continuous industrial uses.		
	b.	Were the specified cleanup standards met? Yes X No _		
	C.	If "no", why not:		
9.	DTS	DTSC Involvement in the Remedial Action:		
	A.	Did the Department order the Remedial Action?		
		Yes No _x_ Date of Order		
	B.	Did the Department review and approve (check appropriate action and indicate date of review/approval if done):		
	<u>X</u> S	ampling Analysis Procedures Date <u>November 23, 1999</u>		
	<u>X</u>	Health & Safety Protections Date <u>November 23, 1999</u>		
	X F	Removal/Disposal Procedures Date November 23, 1999		
	_X F	Removal Action Plan Date <u>February 29, 2000</u>		

C.	If site was abated by a responsible party, did the Department receive a signed statement from a licensed professional on all Remedial Action? Yes_X_No Dates (from) 12/90 (to) 7/97
D.	Did a registered engineer or geologist verify that acceptable engineering practices were implemented? Yes_X_NoName Mary E. Bridgewater, P.E.,Regional BRAC Environmenatl Coordinator Date of verification July 27, 2000
E.	Did the Department confirm completion of all Remedial Action? Yes_X_No Date of verificationAugust 17, 2000_
F.	Did the Department (directly or through a contractor) actually perform the Remedial Action? Yes No_X_ Name of contractor
G.	Was there a community relations plan in place? Yes_ No X_
H.	Was a remedial action plan developed for this site? Yes_X_ NoFinal Decision Document to Support No Further Response Action Planned at Installation Restoration Program Sites and Areas of Suspected Contamination, Ontario Air National Guard Station, July 2000.
1.	Did DTSC hold a public meeting regarding the draft RAP? Yes No X_ There has been no community involvement in the IRP at OANGS, and an administrative record is being prepared by AFBCA.
J.	Were public comments addressed? Yes No <u>A Public Notice was issued by the AFBCA on May 31, 2000.</u>
	Date of DTSC analysis and response:
K.	Are all of the facts cited above adequately documented in the DTSC files? Yes X No
	If no, identify areas where documentation is lacking
EPA I	nvolvement in the Remedial Action:
A. B.	Was the EPA involved in the site cleanup? Yes No_X_ If yes, did EPA concur with all remedial actions?

10.

	C.	EPA comments <u>USEPA was provided with all documents and kept informed of the remedial activities.</u>			
		EPA staff involved in cleanup: Richard T. Russell, P.E. (Name, Title) 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California. 94105 (415)744-2406			
11.	Other	ther Regulatory Agency Involvement in the Cleanup Action:			
	Agend	cy:	Activity:		
	_ <u>X</u> F	RWQCB	BRAC Cleanup Team (BCT) Member		
	A	RB			
	C	HP			
	C	altrans			
	Other		San Bernardino Fire Department		
	<u>Santa</u>	Ana Regional	rsons and agency: Mr. John Broderick, Water Quality Control Board. Mr. Curtis Armstrong, ES III ge Tank Program, San Bernardino County Fire Department		
12.	Post-	est-Closure Activities:			
	A. Will there be post-closure activities at this site? (e.g. Operation a Maintenance) Yes No_X		·		
		If yes, describ	oe:		
	B.	•	osure plans been prepared and approved by the Yes No_X_		
	C.	What is the e	stimated duration of post-closure activities? years.		
	D.	Are deed rest Yes No_X	trictions proposed or in place?		

Yes__ No__

		If "yes" have deed restrictions been recorded with the County recorder? Yes No Date If "no", who is responsible for assuring that the deed restrictions are recorded?	
		Who is the Division contact?Name/Phone Number	
	E.	Has cost recovery been initiated? Yes No_X_	
		If yes, amount received \$;% of DTSC costs.	
	F.	Were local planning agencies notified of the cleanup action? Yes_X_ No_ If yes, the name and address of agency: City of Los Angeles and the Ontario Local Redevelopment Agency.	
13.	Expenditure of Funds and Source:		
	(Infor	mation to be supplied by Toxic Accounting Unit.)	
	Funding Source and amount expended:		
	F	HWCA \$ HSA \$	
	F	ISCF \$ RCRA \$	
	F	RP \$ Others \$	
	<u>X</u>	Federal Cooperative Agreement <u>DSMOA</u>	
14.	<u>Certification Statement:</u> Based upon the information which is currently and actually known to the Department,		
	X	The Department has determined that all appropriate response actions have been completed, that all acceptable engineering practices were implemented and that no further removal/remedial action is necessary.	
		The Department has determined, based upon a remedial investigation or site characterization that the site poses no significant threat to public health, welfare or the environment and therefore implementation of removal/remedial measures is not necessary.	
		The Department has determined that all appropriate removal/remedial actions have been completed and that all acceptable engineering	

practices were implemented; however, the site requires ongoing operation and maintenance (O&M) and monitoring efforts. The site will be deleted from the "active" site list following (1) a trial operation and maintenance period and (2) execution of a formal written settlement between the Department and the responsible parties, if appropriate. However, the site will be placed on the Department's list of sites undergoing O&M to ensure proper monitoring of long-term clean-up efforts.

15. Additional Comments: DTSC approved the Finding of Suitability to Terminate the Lease and to release the Air Force from the current lease with the City of Los Angeles, Department of Airports for 3.2055 acres of leased area of OANGS. In addition, DTSC approved the transfer of 8.0536 acres of fee-owned property to the Ontario Local Redevelopment Authority. The 8.0536 acres property will be transfered by deed and its anticipated use will continue to be an aviation support area.

16. **Certification of Remedial Action:**

I hereby certify that the foregoing information is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Emad B. Yemut. P

Project Manager

Sharon Fair, Chief

Base Closure Unit

John E. Scandura, Chief

Southern California Operations

Office of Military Facilities

TECHNICAL DOCUMENT TO SUPPORT NO FURTHER RESPONSE ACTION DECLARATION FOR INSTALLATION RESTORATION PROGRAM SITES AND AREAS OF SUSPECTED CONTAMINATION, ONTARIO AIR NATIONAL GUARD STATION, ONTARIO, CALIFORNIA

DECLARATION

SITE/AREA NAMES AND LOCATIONS

Installation Restoration Program (IRP)

- Sites ST-02 and ST-03 (Seven Removed Underground Storage Tank Sites)
- One Removed Underground Storage Tank Site (Tank 220, southeast of Building 06)
- Septic Tank and Leach Field area (South of Building 02)
- Wash Rack Area (Southwest Corner of Building 10)
- Concrete Oil/Water Separator Area (East and West Sides of Building 06)
- Concrete Oil/Water Separator Area (East of Building 14)
- Former Fire Training Facility (South of Building 01)

148th Combat Communication Squadron (CCSQ)

Ontario Air National Guard Station (ANGS)

California Air National Guard

1280 South Tower Drive

Ontario, California

STATEMENT OF BASIS AND PURPOSE

This Decision Document formalizes the decision for no further response action at the IRP sites and areas listed above at Ontario ANGS, Ontario, California. The decision is based on environmental data collected from 1990 to 1994, and site mitigation and investigations from 1995 to 1999. The decision was made in accordance with the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA), as amended by the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986, and the National Contingency Plan (NCP).

DESCRIPTION OF THE SELECTED REMEDY

Based on the results of the site mitigation and investigations at the IRP sites and areas listed above, it has been determined that these sites and areas pose no significant risk or threat to public health or the environment. Therefore, No Further Response Action under CERCLA by the Air Force is planned for these sites and areas.

DECLARATION

The Air Force has determined that all response actions necessary at IRP Sites ST-02, ST-03, and the areas identified in this document have been taken and therefore are exempt from the CERCLA 5-year review requirement. The San Bernardino County Fire Department, California Environmental Protection Agency (Cal EPA)-Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC), and the Santa Ana Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) concur with this decision.

This Decision Document has been reviewed and approved by:

Mary Bridgewater
Signature

July 28,2000 Date

Mary E. Bridgewater, P.E. March Regional Operating Location Regional BRAC Environmental Coordinator Air Force Base Conversion Agency

Signature

John E. Scandura, Chief Southern California Branch Office of Military Facilities, State of California

Department of Toxic Substances Control